IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

[NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR]

Writ Petition No. 665 of 2006.

.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Register's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

.....

Shri A. A. Naik Advocate for the petitioner.

CORAM : A.H. JOSHI AND
R.C.CHAVAN, JJ.
DATED : 04/06/2007.

1. The petitioner, who is aggrieved by the decision of the Scrutiny Committee which found that the petitioner has failed to produce any documentary evidence to show that he belongs to Rajput Bhamta.

2. Admittedly, there is no evidence brought by the petitioner on this point. We find that under Section 8 of the Act, the burden of proving the caste claim by the person concerned is on himself. Moreover, the report of the Vigilance Cell also does not reveal anything favourable to

the petitioner on the point of affinity.

3. In the aforesaid circumstances, we find that the decision of the Scrutiny Committee cannot be found to be erroneous, perverse or otherwise illegal. Petition has no merit. It is dismissed.

JUDGE JUDGE

Sbm